

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/654,980	09/05/2003	Rajiv Gupta	033018-113	5640
21839	7590 12/23/2004		EXAM	INER
	ANE SWECKER & MA	RAGONESE, ANDREA M		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 4 6 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/654,980	GUPTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrea M. Ragonese	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-28,32-34 and 41-48 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 41-48 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-28 and 32-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2004 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat nty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/04; 9/20/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on September 20, 2004 has been entered. Examiner

acknowledges that claims 19, 21, 23, 27 and 34 have been amended, claims 1-18, 29-

31 and 35-40 have been canceled and claims 41-48 have been added.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 41-48 are directed to an invention that is independent or

distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the method is a

independent and distinct species in which the aerosol is mixed with entrainment air at a

location outside the aerosol confinement sleeve and within a mouthpiece.

3. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented

invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for

prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 41-48 are withdrawn from consideration

as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP §

821.03.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, regarding claims 19-28 and 32-34, filed on September

20, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because the method

steps claimed are inherent in the use of the apparatus through which the method is

performed. For more support, please see rejections below. Therefore, the rejections of

the previous Office action are reiterated hereinafter and are hereby made FINAL.

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 21 and 41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 21, the ranges of values of the mass median aerosol diameter was not disclosed in the original disclosure of the instant application; and thus, is considered new matter and must be canceled from the claims. Regarding claims 41-48, the method of generating an aerosol in which the aerosol is mixed with entrainment air at a location outside of the aerosol confinement sleeve was not disclosed in the original disclosure of the instant application; and thus, is considered new matter and must be canceled from the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3743

Claims 19-22, 24-27 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 8. anticipated by Howell et al. (US 5,743,251). Howell et al. discloses a method for generating an aerosol, inherent in the use of the apparatus as shown in Figure 1, comprising the steps of: supplying liquid from source 33 to a flow passage 23 having an outlet end 25 (column 3, lines 2-5); heating the liquid with heater 27 so as to volatilize liquid in the flow passage 23 (column 3, lines 5-20); directing the volatilized liquid out of the outlet end 25 of the flow passage 23 into an aerosol confinement sleeve—broadly interpreted to be the mouthpiece 39 (shown by dotted lines in Figure 1)—located at the outlet end 25 of the flow passage (column 3, lines 20-22 and column 6, lines 9-23); and admixing the volatilized liquid with air to produce an aerosol (column 3, lines 22-24 and column 6, lines 32-47). Based on the manufacturing of an aerosol generator device, it would be inherent in the design and production of such a device to produce one in which the confinement sleeve length and/or transverse dimension are designed to achieve the desired aerosol particles through the use of a device. Therefore, as broadly and reasonably interpreted, the prior art of record still anticipates the claimed invention. As for the mouthpiece dimensions, "the mouthpiece is approximately 1 inch in diameter and between 1.5 and 2 inches in length", which falls well within the claimed ranges and ratios (column 6, lines 33-47). The device is fully capable of producing aerosol particles with an MMAD of less than 2 microns, which is well within the claimed ranges and ratios (column 9, lines 9-18).

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 23, 28 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell et al. (US 5,743,251) in view of Armer et al. (US 5,954,047). As previously discussed, discloses a method for generating an aerosol, inherent in the use of the apparatus as shown in Figure 1, comprising all the limitations recited in claims 23, 28 and 34, with the exception of use of a removably attached confinement sleeve, dispensing specific medicaments and constructing the inhaler of a specific body structure. However, the use of a metered dose inhaler with this specific body structure with a removable confinement sleeve that discharges anti-inflammatory medication was known at the time the invention was made. Specifically, Armer et al. teaches the use of

Application/Control Number: 10/654,980

Art Unit: 3743

a metered dose inhaler 10 with a removable mouthpiece 56 for dispensing medication for therapeutic treatment of the lungs (column 1, lines 10-20), as shown in Figure 1. Regarding claim 23, the mouthpiece 56 is broadly interpreted to be the confinement sleeve of the present invention and is removable from the inhaler 10 since the inhaler 10 and mouthpiece 56 are constructed separately (column 8, lines 6-20). Regarding claim 28, the medicaments that are dispensed by the inhaler are anti-inflammatory agents, such as corticosteroids (column 1, lines 11-14). Regarding claim 34, the inhaler 10 has a body 64 that surrounds a portion of the flow passage 41 and has an inner diameter, which is equal to the inner diameter of a portion of the mouthpiece 56, as shown in Figures 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Howell et al. by altering the metered dose inhaler of the prior art to have a body structure with an inner diameter equal to that of the confinement sleeve and a removable mouthpiece and to dispense anti-inflammatory medicaments because it is well known in the art, as taught by Armer et al., to dispense anti-inflammatory agents through a metered dose inhaler with a body of equal diameter of a confinement sleeve in order to dispense the medication and to use a removable confinement sleeve so that different patients can use the same inhaler apparatus or the confinement sleeve can be disinfected before the user dispensing the medication again.

Page 6

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/654,980

Art Unit: 3743

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

Page 7

CFR 1.136(a).

13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Ragonese whose telephone number is 571-

272-4804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30

am until 5:00 pm.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry Bennett Supervisory Patent Examiner